

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
BEAUFORT DIVISION

Thomas Lee Geddie

PETITIONER

v.

Warden, Lieber Correctional Institution

RESPONDENT

Case No. 9:18-cv-02236-TLW

**Order**

Petitioner Thomas Lee Geddie, proceeding *pro se*, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 1. The matter now comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) filed by the magistrate judge to whom this case was assigned. ECF No. 33. In the Report, the magistrate judge recommends that Respondent's motion for summary judgment be granted and the petition be dismissed without an evidentiary hearing. Petitioner did not file objections to the Report. This matter is now ripe for decision.

The Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983). In such a case, "a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.'" *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident*

*Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report. For the reasons stated by the magistrate judge, the Report, ECF No. 33, is **ACCEPTED**. Respondent's motion for summary judgment, ECF No. 25, is **GRANTED**. This action is hereby **DISMISSED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*s/ Terry L. Wooten*  
Terry L. Wooten  
Senior United States District Judge

February 24, 2020  
Columbia, South Carolina